

VC dimension and Eluder dimension

XUHUI LIU

LAMDA, NJUAI

JULY 22, 2020

1 VC Dimension

2 Proof sketch

Table of Contents

1 VC Dimension

2 Proof sketch

DEFINITION 4. An action a is *VC-independent* of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ if for any $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists some $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, which agrees with f on a and with \tilde{f} on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$; that is, $\bar{f}(a) = f(a)$ and $\bar{f}(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{a})$ for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Otherwise, a is *VC-dependent* on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

DEFINITION 5. The VC dimension of a class of binary-valued functions with domain \mathcal{A} is the largest cardinality of a set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that every $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is VC-independent of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \{a\}$.

- Only one function in \mathcal{F} .

In this case, VC dimension = 1. But applying the new definition we have VC dimension = ∞ .

- Only two functions in \mathcal{F} .

VC dimension = 1 or 2. But applying the new definition we have VC dimension = ∞ .

Theorem

If $0, 1 \in \mathcal{F}$, then the two definitions are equal.

Proof.

It's trivial that the old definition implies the new definition. We focus on the other direction.

$\forall f \in 2^{\mathcal{A}}$, where $|\mathcal{A}| = \dim VC$. Let $X = \{a : f(a) = 1\}$, by the definition, we have

$$\bigcup_{a \in X} \{g : g(Y) = 1, g(\mathcal{A} \setminus Y) = 0, Y = Y \cup \{a\}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

whereas $f \in \bigcup_{a \in X} \{g : g(Y) = 1, g(\mathcal{A} \setminus Y) = 0, Y = Y \cup \{a\}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, so $f \in \mathcal{F}$. □

Table of Contents

1 VC Dimension

2 Proof sketch

Problem formulation

- The T-proiod regret of a policy π is defined by

$$\text{Regret}(T, \pi, \theta) = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} f_{\theta}(a) - f_{\theta}(A_t) | \theta].$$

- The T-period Bayesian regret is defined by $\mathbb{E}[\text{Regret}(T, \pi, \theta)]$, where the expectation is taken with respect to the prior distribution over θ . Hence,

$$\text{BayesRegret}(T, \pi) = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} f_{\theta}(a) - f_{\theta}(A_t)].$$

Assumption

- Assumption 1. For all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(a) \in [0, C]$.
- Assumption 2. For all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $R_t - f\theta(A_t)$ conditioned on (H_t, θ, A_t) is σ -sub-Gaussian.

Let $A_t^* = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} f_\theta(a)$, we have

$$\text{BayesRegret}(T, \pi) = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[f_\theta(A_t^*) - f_\theta(A_t)].$$

Step 1: **Regret decomposition.**

If $f_\theta(A_t) \in [L_t(A_t), U_t(A_t)]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f_\theta(A_t^*) - f_\theta(A_t)] \leq \mathbb{E}[U_t(A_t^*) - f_\theta(A_t)] \leq \mathbb{E}[U_t(A_t) - f_\theta(A_t)].$$

Else

$$f_\theta(A_t^*) - f_\theta(A_t) \leq C.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{BayesRegret} \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=1}^T [(U_t(A_t) - f_\theta(A_t))] + C \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{P}(f_\theta(A_t^*) > U_t(A_t^*)).$$

Step 2: **Build confidence set.**

Minimize MSE loss $\rightarrow f_{\theta}(A_t) \in [L_t(A_t), U_t(A_t)]$ with high probability.

Step 3: Bound the confidence set interval.

We have $U_t(A_t) - f_\theta(A_t) \leq \omega_t(A_t) := U_t(A_t) - L_t(A_t)$, and bound $\sum_{t=1}^T \omega_t(A_t)$ to conclude the proof.

Step 1:

PROPOSITION 1. *For any UCB sequence $\{U_t \mid t \in \mathbb{N}\}$,*

$$\text{BayesRegret}(T, \pi^{PS}) = \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=1}^T [U_t(A_t) - f_\theta(A_t)] + \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=1}^T [f_\theta(A_t^*) - U_t(A_t^*)]$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$L_{2,t}(f) = \sum_1^{t-1} (f(A_t) - R_t)^2$$

$$\|\bar{f} - \underline{f}\|_{2,E_t}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (\bar{f} - \underline{f})^2(A_k)$$

Step 2:

LEMMA 3. For any $\delta > 0$ and $f: \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$L_{2,t}(f) \geq L_{2,t}(f_\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \|f - f_\theta\|_{2,E_t}^2 - 4\sigma^2 \log(1/\delta)$$

simultaneously for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\beta_t^*(\mathcal{F}, \delta, \alpha) := 8\sigma^2 \log(N(\mathcal{F}, \alpha, \|\cdot\|_\infty)/\delta) + 2\alpha t(8C + \sqrt{8\sigma^2 \ln(4t^2/\delta)}).$$

PROPOSITION 6. For all $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, if

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \{f \in \mathcal{F}: \|f - \hat{f}_t^{LS}\|_{2,E_t} \leq \sqrt{\beta_t^*(\mathcal{F}, \delta, \alpha)}\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_\theta \in \bigcap_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_t\right) \geq 1 - 2\delta.$$

Step 3:

LEMMA 4. For all $T \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{F}_\tau} f_\rho(a) \leq f_\theta(a) \leq \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{F}_\tau} f_\rho(a)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with probability at least $1 - 1/T$, then

$$\text{BayesRegret}(T, \pi^{PS}) \leq C + \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=1}^T w_{\mathcal{F}_t}(A_t).$$

Step 3:

PROPOSITION 8. If $(\beta_t \geq 0 \mid t \in \mathbb{N})$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $\mathcal{F}_t := \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f - \hat{f}_t^{LS}\|_{2, E_t} \leq \sqrt{\beta_t}\}$, then

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}(w_{\mathcal{F}_t}(A_t) > \epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{4\beta_T}{\epsilon^2} + 1 \right) \dim_E(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon)$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

LEMMA 5. If $(\beta_t \geq 0 \mid t \in \mathbb{N})$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $\mathcal{F}_t := \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f - \hat{f}_t^{LS}\|_{2, E_t} \leq \sqrt{\beta_t}\}$, then

$$\sum_{t=1}^T w_{\mathcal{F}_t}(A_t) \leq 1 + \dim_E(\mathcal{F}, T^{-1})C + 4\sqrt{\dim_E(\mathcal{F}, T^{-1})\beta_T T}$$

for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3:

DEFINITION 1. The *Kolmogorov dimension* of a function class \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\dim_K(\mathcal{F}) = \limsup_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{\log N(\mathcal{F}, \alpha, \|\cdot\|_\infty)}{\log(1/\alpha)}.$$

In particular, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 7. For any fixed class of functions \mathcal{F} ,

$$\beta_t^*(\mathcal{F}, 1/t^2, 1/t^2) = 16(1 + o(1) + \dim_K(\mathcal{F})) \log t.$$

PROPOSITION 10. For any fixed class of functions \mathcal{F} ,

$$\text{BayesRegret}(T, \pi^{PS}) \leq 1 + [\dim_E(\mathcal{F}, T^{-1}) + 1]C + 16\sigma \sqrt{\dim_E(\mathcal{F}, T^{-1})(1 + o(1) + \dim_K(\mathcal{F})) \log(T)T}$$

Thanks!